

HARTLEY LAW FIRM - TDA LITIGATION STATUS REPORT
January 5, 2017

Case Type	Plaintiff/Defendant		Case No.	Status
Lien Foreclosure	Alpine Roofing, LLC v MGT Construction Management, East End Village, LLC; Larson Development; TDA and others		CJ-2015-1898	<p>Lawsuit to foreclose subcontractor lien on East End Village project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This case has been consolidated with the CJ-2015-2212 case. Caption of case has been restyled with that of two other related and pending consolidated cases. - A hearing on subcontractors' issue was held on 8-23-16. Case given first up trial setting for March 27, 2017. - Request for special master re lien validity denied. Request for discovery master held in abeyance pending development of "realistic" scheduling order. All other pending motions not addressed. <p>EEV and MGT continue with discovery requests.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lien claimant Ark Wrecking has filed a motion for summary judgment.
Breach of Contract and Lien Foreclosure Counterclaims	East End Village, LLC v. MGT Construction Management, Inc. et al		CJ-2015-2211	<p>Action filed by East End Village, LLC in response to lawsuit brought by subcontractors in CJ-2015-1898 above. TDA filed its Answer to the Petition on 8-19-15, TDA filed its Answer to Cross Claims of subcontractors on 9-23-15.</p> <p>See discussion of consolidated case above.</p>
Breach of Contract and Lien Foreclosure Counterclaims	Sunbelt Fire Protection, Inc v. MGT Construction Management, Inc. et al		CJ-2016-1738	<p>Action filed 5-6-16 by Sunbelt Fire Protection, Inc. for breach of contract relating to the East End Village redevelopment. TDA still has not been served. 17 total defendants including TDA. This case has been assigned to Judge Cantrell who also has the two cases listed above.</p> <p>See discussion of consolidated cases above.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Both East End Village, LLC and MGT Construction Management, Inc. (the general contractor) have filed motions to dismiss the claims – motions remain pending.
Foreclosure	Bank of Oklahoma v. Jessie L. Hardy, Roberta Hardy and TDA		CJ-2010-6967	<p>Petition filed 10-29-10. TDA appears to have a second mortgage lien in the amount of \$20,000.00. Judgment entered with Plaintiff having a first lien in the amount of \$3,286.99 plus interest, costs of \$1,450 and costs of sale. Property appraised at \$30,000.00. Sheriff's sale set for 11-12-13. Ninth Special Execution</p>

				returned NO SALE on 11-14-13. No action in case since 11-14-13
Foreclosure	TDA v Donald Jackson, et al		CJ-2011-5105	<p>First mortgage holder, U. S. Bank has filed foreclosure proceedings. TDA Answer and Cross Petition filed on 11-4-11. Foreclosure on hold pending approval of bankruptcy reorganization plan under which, payments are to resume to TDA. Notice of Bankruptcy file 8-17-12 – Chapter 13, Case #11-13683.</p> <p>- Received notice of dismissal of Chapter 13 Bankruptcy case. Will contact borrower and first mortgage holder re resumption of payments on TDA note and mortgage.</p>
Breach of Contract	TDA and Paula Recess v James Snyder d/b/a Gem Air Heating		CS-2011-8418	<p>Hearing on Motion for Default Judgment held on September 18, 2012. Court required new service of summons. Alias Summons issued 9-29-12. Defendant James Snyder served on 3-1-13. TDA motion for default judgment taken under advisement.</p>
Other	Novus Homes (Wilkins)		CJ-2008-5713	<p>Case stricken from jury trial docket as settled on 6-14-12. Settlement agreement provides for dismissal of lawsuit upon Plaintiffs' failure to close sale of property. TDA and Plaintiffs signed a Redevelopment Agreement effective April 16, 2013.</p> <p>- Schematic Plans were approved by TDA Board of Commissioners on 5-1-14.</p> <p>- Contract dates extended 90 days on 1-9-14 and for an additional 30 days on October 9, 2014. 3rd Amendment to Redevelopment Contract executed (total extensions 180 days).</p> <p>- Construction Documents were approved at February 5, 2015 meeting with one condition relating to the Darven Brown memorial.</p> <p>- Redevelopers failed to provide financial ability to construct documentation after 90 day extension until November 13, 2015. Another extension request denied at the December, 2015 meeting and has declared a default. 60 Day Notice of Default served on December 22nd, 2015. No documents to cure received by February 20th, 2016 deadline.</p> <p>- At March, 2016 TDA Board meeting Wilkins requested a reconsideration of the denial of the extension to permit negotiation of a new redevelopment contract for the 120 Project with the Redevelopers and Parkes Development Group. This request was denied.</p> <p>- At April, 2016 meeting the TDA Board proceeded with termination of Redevelopment Contract. Counsel for Redevelopers has submitted three letters objecting to TDA action.</p> <p>--Letter in response sent by TDA General</p>

				<p>Counsel and TDA Special Counsel, Jim Weger, on May, 19, 2016.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Letter from counsel Melissa East dated July 6, 2016 received requesting arbitration of "Dispute Between Tulsa Development Authority and Wilkins." - TDA Board approved participation in arbitration of the "dispute." - Letter from counsel Melissa East dated August 16, 2016 received with open records request to TDA regarding Wilkins, their companies and Block 44 property. General Counsel is reviewing the request and will process documents for privilege claims prior to production. - TDA General Counsel and Special Counsel are proceeding with arbitration as requested by Wilkins. Counsel for Wilkins submitted a letter dated October 24th listing six arbitrators acceptable to Wilkins. - TDA has responded with approval of two of the arbitrators listed. TDA counsel has requested a response from counsel for Wilkins and a schedule for the proceedings. - Parties have agreed upon an arbitrator and the form of an arbitration agreement. Schedule for completion of arbitration should be finalized shortly after first of January, 2017.
Other	<i>Novus Homes (Wilkins)</i>		CV-2016-998	<p>Filed by Wilkins seeking injunction against TDA proceeding with sale of its property in Block 44 pursuant to RFP issued following the April, 2016 TDA Board meeting. Request for temporary restraining order was denied. Temporary injunction hearing pending. Special Counsel Jim Weger is assisting TDA General Counsel in defense of this matter.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Plaintiffs have requested and received a continuance of the hearing on their Motion for Temporary Injunction – no new date set - Special counsel Jim Weger is in discussions with counsel for Wilkins re dismissal of case as moot.